

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/042.229	01/11/2002	Shuichi Furuya	087147-0468	5252		
22428	7590 01/29/2003					
FOLEY AND LARDNER			EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN			
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1624	1		
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/042,229		FURUYA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			n Balasubramanian	1624				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess			
Period fo A SHC	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO E	EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH	(S) FROM				
THE N - Exten after S - If NO - Failur	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuteply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, he statutory will apply and will expense the application	nowever, may a reply be to minimum of thirty (30) da pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comn ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	•	his action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except fo	or formal matters,	prosecution as to the	merits is			
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	r Ex parte Qua	yie, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
	Claim(s) 1-22,30,38 and 40-44 is/are pending							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consi	deration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22, 30, 38 and 40-44 are subject	to restriction a	nd/or election requ	uirement.				
	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) of	bjected to by the Ex	caminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	roved b) LI disapp	proved by the Examine	•			
•	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		e action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the f	Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
l)							
·	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received in Applic	ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the propert	riority documer Bureau (PCT F	nts have been rece Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National S	Stage			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	IST OF THE CERTIFIC	dor 25 II S C & 11	(9(e) (to a provisional	application).			
14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
15)	 a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	provisional app estic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.				
Attachme	ent(s)			(DTO 442) Danes No.	c)			
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(4) Interview Sumi 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(nal Patent Application (PTC	s) D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/042,229

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-22, 30, 38 and 40-44 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, 30, 38, and 40-44, drawn to compound of formula I wherein A is a nitrogen, D is a carbon and B is nitrogen namely bicyclopyrimidine, classified in class 544 subclass 253, class 514, subclass 259.1.
- II. Claims 1-22, 30, 38, and 40-44, drawn to compound of formula I wherein A is carbon, D is a nitrogen, and B is nitrogen namely pyrrolopyridine classified in class 546, subclass 113, class 514 subclass 300.
- III. Claims 1-22, 30, 38, and 40-44, drawn to compound of formula I wherein A and D are nitrogens, and B is carbon namely imidazopyridine classified in class 546, subclass121, class 514, subclass 393.
- IV. Claims 1-22, 30, 38, and 40-44, drawn to compound of formula I wherein A is a nitrogen, D is a carbon, and B is carbon namely bicyclopyridine classified in class 546 subclass 112, class 514, subclass 299.
- V. Claims 1-22, 30, 38, and 40-44, drawn to compound of formula I wherein A is a carbon, D is a nitrogen, and B is carbon namely bicyclopyrrolidine classified in class 548 subclass 452, class 514, subclass 408.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I, II, III, IV, and V are independent and distinct from each other because they are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds that lack common core namely

bicyclopyrimidine versus pyrrolopyridine versus imidazopyridines versus bicylopyridine versus bicyclopyrrolidine. Consequently, the groups have different classifications and

require separate prior art searches. They can be made and used independently. Art,

which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not necessarily do the

same for the other group. Each can support a patent, as the compounds of each group

are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the

Markush group. In addition, it is necessary to classify and search all the hetero cores

and such a search of all core s would serious search burden given the limited time

available for each application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-22, 30, 38 and 40-44 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,229

Art Unit: 1624

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

In view of distinct nature of each invention, the restriction is set forth in writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,229

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

Page 5

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (703)

305-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-4716.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

V. Balasubauman Venkataraman Balasubramanian

1/27/2002